

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re THE PMI GROUP, INC. SECURITIES
LITIGATION

) Master File No. 3:08-cv-01405-SI

) CLASS ACTION

) This Document Relates To:

) ALL ACTIONS.

) [PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING PLAN
) OF ALLOCATION OF SETTLEMENT
) PROCEEDS

) DATE: December 16, 2010

) TIME: 9:00 a.m.

) COURTROOM: The Honorable Susan Illston

1 THIS MATTER having come before the Court on Lead Plaintiff's application for approval of
2 the Plan of Allocation of the net settlement proceeds in the above-captioned action; the Court having
3 considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed in the
4 premises;

5 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

6 1. For purposes of this Order, the terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set
7 forth in the Stipulation of Settlement dated as of August 30, 2010 (the "Stipulation").

8 2. Pursuant to and in full compliance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil
9 Procedure, this Court hereby finds and concludes that due and adequate notice was directed to all
10 Persons who are Class Members advising them of the Plan of Allocation and of their right to object
11 thereto, and a full and fair opportunity was accorded to all Persons and entities who are Class
12 Members to be heard with respect to the Plan of Allocation. No Person objected to the Plan of
13 Allocation.

14 3. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the formula for the calculation of the
15 claims of Authorized Claimants which is set forth in the Notice of Pendency and Proposed
16 Settlement of Class Action (the "Notice") sent to Class Members, provides a fair and reasonable
17 basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund established by the Stipulation
18 among Class Members, with due consideration having been given to administrative convenience and
19 necessity.

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 4. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation set forth in the
2 Notice is in all respects fair and reasonable and the Court hereby approves the Plan of Allocation.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4
5 DATED: _____

THE HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

6
7 Submitted by:

8 ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
9 & DOWD LLP
10 KEITH F. PARK
11 DANIEL S. DROSMAN

12 s/ Keith F. Park

KEITH F. PARK

13 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900
14 San Diego, CA 92101
15 Telephone: 619/231-1058
619/231-7423 (fax)

16 ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
17 & DOWD LLP
18 DANIEL J. PFEFFERBAUM
19 Post Montgomery Center
One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: 415/288-4545
415/288-4534 (fax)

20 Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2 I hereby certify that on November 11, 2010, I authorized the electronic filing of the foregoing
3 with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to
4 the e-mail addresses denoted on the attached Electronic Mail Notice List, and I hereby certify that I
5 caused to be mailed the foregoing document or paper via the United States Postal Service to the non-
6 CM/ECF participants indicated on the attached Manual Notice List.

7 I further certify that I caused this document to be forwarded to the following Designated
8 Internet Site at: <http://securities.stanford.edu>.

9 I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the
10 foregoing is true and correct. Executed on November 11, 2010.

11 s/ Keith F. Park
12 KEITH F. PARK

13 ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
14 & DOWD LLP
15 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900
16 San Diego, CA 92101-3301
17 Telephone: 619/231-1058
18 619/231-7423 (fax)

19 E-mail: KeithP@rgrdlaw.com
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28