

Page 1 of 2
USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 8/30/07

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE OPENWAVE SYSTEMS SECURITIES LITIGATION,)))	CIVIL ACTION NO. 07-CV-1309 (DLC)
--	-------------	--------------------------------------

**STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING EXTENSION OF PAGE LIMITS
FOR PLAINTIFFS TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO DISMISS
AND FOR DEFENDANT OPENWAVE AND THE INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS
TO REPLY TO PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITON**

WHEREAS, on August 10, 2007, Defendants filed five separate motions to dismiss the Complaint;

WHEREAS, the Court's Individual Practices for Civil Cases provides that "[u]nless prior permission has been granted, each individual memoranda of law in support of and in opposition to motions, are limited to 25 pages and reply memoranda are limited to 10 pages";

WHEREAS, under the Court's Individual Practices for Civil Cases, Lead Plaintiffs would be entitled to 25 pages to respond to each one of the five separate motions to dismiss, for a total of 125 pages, and Defendants would be entitled to 10 pages per motion for a total of 50 pages;

WHEREAS, in the interest of efficiency, Lead Plaintiff intends to file one omnibus opposition to the five separate motions to dismiss of no more than 75 pages;

WHEREAS, in the interest of efficiency, Defendant Openwave and the Individual Defendants (the "Openwave Defendants") intend to file one reply brief to the omnibus opposition of no more than 30 pages;

WHEREAS, Defendant KPMG and the Underwriter Defendants intend to file separate reply briefs of no more than 10 pages each;

WHEREAS, in light of Lead Plaintiff's intention to file one omnibus opposition to the motions to dismiss, and the Openwave Defendants' intention to file one reply brief, the parties have agreed, subject to Court approval, to extend the page limit for Lead Plaintiffs to oppose Defendants' motions to dismiss and for the Openwave Defendants to combine their reply briefs into a single brief in reply to the opposition;

WHEREAS, there have been no previous requests for extensions of page limits in this action;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the undersigned parties through their counsel, and it is ORDERED by the Court:

1. Lead Plaintiff shall have up to 75 pages to respond in one omnibus opposition to Defendants' motions to dismiss.
2. The Openwave Defendants may combine their reply briefs and file a single reply brief not to exceed 30 pages.

Dated: New York, New York
August 29, 2007

So ordered.
Janice Cole
August 30, 2007

<p>GIBSON, DUNN, CRUTCHER LLP</p> <p>By: <i>Paul J. Collins /</i> <i>By permission LHG</i></p> <p>Paul J. Collins 1881 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304 Tel: (650) 849-5309 Fax: (650) 849-5009</p> <p>Marshall R. King 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166 Tel: (212) 351-4000 Fax: (212) 351-4035</p> <p>Attorneys for Defendant Openwave Systems, Inc.</p>	<p>BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMANN LLP</p> <p>By: <i>Chad Johnson /</i> <i>By permission LHG</i></p> <p>Chad Johnson (CJ 3395) Laura H. Gundersheim (LG 8612) 1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10019 Tel: (212) 554-1400 Fax: (212) 554-1444</p> <p>Attorneys for Lead Plaintiff Arkansas Teacher Retirement System and Lead Counsel for the Class</p>
--	--