

1 TERRY T. JOHNSON, State Bar No. 121569 (tjohnson@wsgr.com)
BORIS FELDMAN, State Bar No. 128838 (boris.feldman@wsgr.com)
2 CHERYL W. FOUNG, State Bar No. 108868 (cfoung@wsgr.com)
BAHRAM SEYEDIN-NOOR, State Bar No. 203244 (bnoor@wsgr.com)
3 BRYAN KETROSER, State Bar No 239105 (bketroser@wsgr.com)
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
4 Professional Corporation
650 Page Mill Road
5 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
Telephone: (650) 493-9300
6 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100
tjohnson@wsgr.com
7

8 Attorneys for Defendants
UTSTARCOM, INC., HONG LIANG LU,
MICHAEL J. SOPHIE, HOWARD KWOCK
9 GERALD S. SOLOWAY, SHAO-NING J. CHOU,
WILLIAM H. HUANG, YING WU, AND
10 THOMAS J. TOY

11
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14 SAN JOSE DIVISION
15

16 IN RE UTSTARCOM, INC.)
SECURITIES LITIGATION)
17 _____)

18 This Document Relates To:)
19 ALL ACTIONS.)
20)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25 _____)

Master File No. C-04-4908-JW(PVT)

**JOINT STIPULATION AND
~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER EXPANDING
PAGE LIMITS FOR UTSTARCOM
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
DISMISS SECOND AMENDED
CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT,
PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION BRIEF,
AND DEFENDANTS' REPLY BRIEF**

1 WHEREAS, on April 13, 2006, Plaintiffs filed a three hundred and seventy-eight
2 paragraph Second Amended Consolidated Complaint for Violation of the Federal Securities Laws
3 consisting of 150 pages and 108 pages of exhibits and asserting a class period of thirty-three
4 months;

5 WHEREAS, Civil L.R. 7-2(b) and Civil L.R. 7-3(a) and (c) provide for page limits that
6 are not sufficient for the contemplated Motion to Dismiss and supporting memorandum that
7 Defendants UTStarcom, Inc., Hong Liang Lu, Michael J. Sophie, Howard Kwock, Gerald S.
8 Soloway, Shao-Ning J. Chou, William H. Huang, Ying Wu, and Thomas Toy (collectively,
9 "Defendants") anticipate filing on June 2, 2006, and that plaintiffs anticipate opposing, in that the
10 parties desire to address the numerous allegations in a comprehensive and thorough fashion;

11 WHEREAS, this Court previously granted extensions of the page limits with the former
12 contemplated Motion to Dismiss and Opposition and Reply, which pleadings were never filed;

13 WHEREAS, Defendants believe that they need no more than 45 pages for their Motion to
14 Dismiss and supporting memorandum;

15 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs believe they need no more than 45 pages for their Opposition to
16 Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Brief; and

17 WHEREAS, Defendants believe that they need no more than 25 pages for their Reply
18 Brief;

19 THEREFORE, the parties hereby STIPULATE and AGREE as follows:

20 1. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and Reply Brief will not exceed 45 and 25 pages,
21 respectively, exclusive of the caption page, the table of contents, table of authorities, declarations,
22 and exhibits.

23 2. Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Brief will not exceed 45
24 pages, exclusive of the caption page, the table of contents, table of authorities, declarations, and
25 exhibits.

26
27
28

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

2 Dated: May 31, 2006

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation

3
4 By: /s/ Cheryl Foung
Cheryl Foung

5
6 Attorneys for Defendants
7 UTSTARCOM, INC., HONG LIANG LU,
8 MICHAEL J. SOPHIE, HOWARD KWOCK
9 GERALD S. SOLOWAY, SHAO-NING J. CHOU,
10 WILLIAM H. HUANG, YING WU, AND
THOMAS J. TOY

11 Dated: May 31, 2006

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER
RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP

12
13 By: /s/ Kimberly Epstein
KIMBERLY EPSTEIN

14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

15
16 I, Terry T. Johnson, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used to file this
17 Stipulation to Expand Page Limits and [Proposed] Order. I hereby attest that Cheryl W. Foung
18 and Kimberly C. Epstein concur in this filing.

19 Dated: May 31, 2006

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation

20
21 By: /s/ TERRY T. JOHNSON
TERRY T. JOHNSON

22 Attorneys for Defendants UTStarcom Inc.,
23 Hong Liang Lu, Michael J. Sophie, Howard
24 Kwock, Gerald S. Soloway, Shao-Ning J.
25 Chou, William H. Huang, Ying Wu, and
26 Thomas Toy

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

PURSUANT TO THIS STIPULATION, AND GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: JUNE 1, 2006

/S/ JAMES WARE
The Honorable James Ware
United States District Court Judge