

1 TERRY T. JOHNSON, State Bar No. 121569 (tjohnson@wsgr.com)  
 2 BORIS FELDMAN, State Bar No. 128838 (boris.feldman@wsgr.com)  
 3 CHERYL W. FOUNG, State Bar No. 108868 (cfoung@wsgr.com)  
 4 BAHRAM SEYEDIN-NOOR, State Bar No. 203244 (bnoor@wsgr.com)  
 5 BRYAN KETROSER, State Bar No 239105 (bketrosr@wsgr.com)  
 6 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI  
 7 Professional Corporation  
 8 650 Page Mill Road  
 9 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050  
 10 Telephone: (650) 493-9300  
 11 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100  
 12 tjohnson@wsgr.com

13 Attorneys for Defendants  
 14 UTSTARCOM, INC., HONG LIANG LU,  
 15 MICHAEL J. SOPHIE, HOWARD KWOCK  
 16 GERALD S. SOLOWAY, SHAO-NING J. CHOU,  
 17 WILLIAM H. HUANG, YING WU, AND  
 18 THOMAS J. TOY

19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 20 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 21 SAN JOSE DIVISION

16	IN RE UTSTARCOM, INC.	)	Master File No. C-04-4908-JW(PVT)
17	SECURITIES LITIGATION	)	
18	_____	)	<b>JOINT STIPULATION AND</b>
19	This Document Relates To:	)	<b>[PROPOSED] ORDER EXPANDING</b>
20	ALL ACTIONS.	)	<b>PAGE LIMITS FOR UTSTARCOM</b>
21		)	<b>DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO</b>
22		)	<b>DISMISS SECOND AMENDED</b>
23		)	<b>CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT,</b>
24		)	<b>PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION BRIEF,</b>
25		)	<b>AND DEFENDANTS' REPLY BRIEF</b>
26		)	
27		)	
28		)	

1 WHEREAS, on April 13, 2006, Plaintiffs filed a three hundred and seventy-eight  
2 paragraph Second Amended Consolidated Complaint for Violation of the Federal Securities Laws  
3 consisting of 150 pages and 108 pages of exhibits and asserting a class period of thirty-three  
4 months;

5 WHEREAS, Civil L.R. 7-2(b) and Civil L.R. 7-3(a) and (c) provide for page limits that  
6 are not sufficient for the contemplated Motion to Dismiss and supporting memorandum that  
7 Defendants UTStarcom, Inc., Hong Liang Lu, Michael J. Sophie, Howard Kwock, Gerald S.  
8 Soloway, Shao-Ning J. Chou, William H. Huang, Ying Wu, and Thomas Toy (collectively,  
9 “Defendants”) anticipate filing on June 2, 2006, and that plaintiffs anticipate opposing, in that the  
10 parties desire to address the numerous allegations in a comprehensive and thorough fashion;

11 WHEREAS, this Court previously granted extensions of the page limits with the former  
12 contemplated Motion to Dismiss and Opposition and Reply, which pleadings were never filed;

13 WHEREAS, Defendants believe that they need no more than 45 pages for their Motion to  
14 Dismiss and supporting memorandum;

15 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs believe they need no more than 45 pages for their Opposition to  
16 Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss Brief; and

17 WHEREAS, Defendants believe that they need no more than 25 pages for their Reply  
18 Brief;

19 THEREFORE, the parties hereby STIPULATE and AGREE as follows:

20 1. Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss and Reply Brief will not exceed 45 and 25 pages,  
21 respectively, exclusive of the caption page, the table of contents, table of authorities, declarations,  
22 and exhibits.

23 2. Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss Brief will not exceed 45  
24 pages, exclusive of the caption page, the table of contents, table of authorities, declarations, and  
25 exhibits.

26  
27  
28

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

2 Dated: May 31, 2006

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI  
Professional Corporation

3  
4  
5  
6

By: /s/ Cheryl Foung  
Cheryl Foung

Attorneys for Defendants  
UTSTARCOM, INC., HONG LIANG LU,  
MICHAEL J. SOPHIE, HOWARD KWOCK  
GERALD S. SOLOWAY, SHAO-NING J. CHOU,  
WILLIAM H. HUANG, YING WU, AND  
THOMAS J. TOY

10  
11 Dated: May 31, 2006

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER  
RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP

12  
13  
14  
15

By: /s/ Kimberly Epstein  
KIMBERLY EPSTEIN

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

16 I, Terry T. Johnson, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used to file this  
17 Stipulation to Expand Page Limits and [Proposed] Order. I hereby attest that Cheryl W. Foung  
18 and Kimberly C. Epstein concur in this filing.

19 Dated: May 31, 2006

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI  
Professional Corporation

20  
21

By: /s/ TERRY T. JOHNSON  
TERRY T. JOHNSON

Attorneys for Defendants UTStarcom Inc.,  
Hong Liang Lu, Michael J. Sophie, Howard  
Kwock, Gerald S. Soloway, Shao-Ning J.  
Chou, William H. Huang, Ying Wu, and  
Thomas Toy

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ORDER**

PURSUANT TO THIS STIPULATION, AND GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
The Honorable James Ware  
United States District Court Judge