

1 SUSAN MUCK (CSB No. 126930)  
smuck@fenwick.com  
2 CATHERINE DUDEN KEVANE (CSB No. 215501)  
JENNIFER C. BRETAN (CSB No. 233475)  
3 FENWICK & WEST LLP  
275 Battery Street, Suite 1500  
4 San Francisco, California 94111  
Telephone: (415) 875-2300  
5 Facsimile: (415) 281-1350

6 EMMETT C. STANTON (CSB No. 83930)  
estanton@fenwick.com  
7 TANYA HERRERA (CSB No. 177790)  
therrera@fenwick.com  
8 FENWICK & WEST LLP  
Silicon Valley Center  
9 801 California Street  
Mountain View, CA 94041  
10 Telephone: (650) 988-8500  
Facsimile: (650) 938-5200  
11

12 Attorneys for Defendants INVISION  
TECHNOLOGIES, INC., SERGIO MAGISTRI and  
13 ROSS MULHOLLAND

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
16 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17 IN RE INVISION TECHNOLOGIES,  
INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION

Case No. C-04-3181 (MJJ) GRANTED

**STIPULATION REGARDING BRIEFING  
AND HEARING SCHEDULE FOR MOTION  
TO DISMISS SECOND AMENDED  
CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT**

The Honorable Martin J. Jenkins

21  
22 This Stipulation is entered into by and among lead plaintiffs, The Glazer Funds  
23 (“Plaintiffs”) and defendants InVision Technologies, Inc. (“InVision”), Sergio Magistri and Ross  
24 Mulholland (collectively, “Defendants”) by and through their respective attorneys of record, as  
25 follows:

26 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed a Second Amended Consolidated Complaint on February 22,  
27 2006;

28 WHEREAS, the parties have customarily set a briefing schedule for motions in this

1 matter, subject to the Court's availability;

2 WHEREAS this schedule will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed  
3 by Court order;

4 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, pursuant to Northern District of California Local Rule 6-  
5 1(a), that:

- 6 (1) Defendants' motion to dismiss the Second Amended Consolidated Complaint shall  
7 be filed on or before March 27, 2006;
- 8 (2) Plaintiffs' opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss shall be filed on or before  
9 May 1, 2006;
- 10 (3) Defendants' reply in support of their motion to dismiss shall be filed on or before  
11 May 22, 2006; and
- 12 (4) The hearing on Defendants' motion to dismiss shall be on June 6, 2006, or such  
13 other date as the Court may find convenient.

14 Dated: March 13, 2006

FENWICK & WEST LLP

15  
16 By: s/Susan S. Muck  
Susan S. Muck

17 Attorneys for Defendants  
18 INVISION TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; SERGIO  
MAGISTRI and ROSS MULHOLLAND

19  
20 Dated: March 13, 2006

ABRAHAM, FRUCHTER & TWERSKY, LLP

21 By: s/Jeffrey S. Abraham  
22 Jeffrey S. Abraham

23 Lead Counsel for the Plaintiffs

24 23570/00404/LIT/1246239.2

25  
26  
27  
28

1 matter, subject to the Court's availability;

2 WHEREAS this schedule will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed  
3 by Court order;

4 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, pursuant to Northern District of California Local Rule 6-  
5 1(a), that:

- 6 (1) Defendants' motion to dismiss the Second Amended Consolidated Complaint shall  
7 be filed on or before March 27, 2006;
- 8 (2) Plaintiffs' opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss shall be filed on or before  
9 May 1, 2006;
- 10 (3) Defendants' reply in support of their motion to dismiss shall be filed on or before  
11 May 22, 2006; and
- 12 (4) The hearing on Defendants' motion to dismiss shall be on June 6, 2006, or such  
13 other date as the Court may find convenient.

14 Dated: March \_\_\_\_, 2006

FENWICK & WEST LLP

15 By: s/Susan S. Muck  
16 Susan S. Muck

17 Attorneys for Defendants  
18 INVISION TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; SERGIO  
MAGISTRI and ROSS MULHOLLAND

19 Dated: March \_\_\_\_, 2006

ABRAHAM, FRUCHTER & TWERSKY, LLP

20 By: Jeffrey S. Abraham  
21 Jeffrey S. Abraham

22 Lead Counsel for the Plaintiffs  
23

24  
25  
26  
27 3/16/2006  
28

