

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

In re RASTER GRAPHICS SECURITIES LITIGATION)	Master File No.
_____)	C-98-0807-FMS
This Document Relates To:)	
)	<u>CLASS ACTION</u>
ALL ACTIONS.)	
_____)	

**NOTICE OF PENDENCY AND PROPOSED
SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTIONS**

TO: ALL PERSONS WHO PURCHASED THE COMMON STOCK OF RASTER GRAPHICS, INC. ("RASTER GRAPHICS") DURING THE PERIOD FROM APRIL 24, 1997 THROUGH AND INCLUDING APRIL 24, 1998

PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY AND IN ITS ENTIRETY. YOUR RIGHTS MAY BE AFFECTED BY PROCEEDINGS IN THIS LITIGATION. PLEASE NOTE THAT IF YOU ARE A SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER, YOU MAY BE ENTITLED TO SHARE IN THE PROCEEDS OF THE SETTLEMENT DESCRIBED IN THIS NOTICE. TO CLAIM YOUR SHARE OF THIS FUND, YOU MUST SUBMIT A VALID PROOF OF CLAIM ON OR BEFORE MARCH 18, 1999.

This Notice has been sent to you pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and an order of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (the "Court"). The purpose of this Notice is to inform you of the proposed settlement of this class action litigation and of the hearing to be held by the Court to consider the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the settlement. This Notice describes the rights you may have in connection with the settlement and what steps you may take in relation to the settlement and this class action litigation.

The proposed settlement creates a fund in the amount of \$4.5 million in cash and will include any interest that accrues on the fund prior to distribution. Your recovery from this fund will depend on a number of variables, including the number of shares you purchased and the timing of your purchases and sales. Depending on the number of affected shares that elect to participate in the settlement and when the shares were purchased and sold, the average recovery per share will be approximately \$1.00 before deduction of court-approved fees and expenses.

Plaintiffs and Defendants do not agree on the average amount of damages per share that would be recoverable if Plaintiffs were to have prevailed on each claim alleged. The issues on which the parties disagree include (1) the appropriate economic model for determining the amount by which Raster Graphics common stock was allegedly artificially inflated (if at all) during the Settlement Class Period; (2) the amount by which Raster Graphics common stock was allegedly artificially inflated (if at all) during the Settlement Class Period; (3) the effect of various market forces influencing the trading price of Raster Graphics common stock at various times during the Settlement Class Period; (4) the extent to which external factors, such as general market and industry conditions and the trading price of Raster Graphics stock, influenced the trading price of Raster Graphics common stock at various times during the Settlement Class Period; (5) the extent to which the various matters that Plaintiffs alleged were materially false or misleading influenced (if at all) the trading price of Raster Graphics common stock at various times during the Settlement Class Period; (6) the extent to which the various allegedly adverse material facts that Plaintiffs alleged were omitted influenced (if at all) the trading price of Raster Graphics common stock at various times during the Settlement Class Period; and (7) whether the statements made or facts allegedly omitted were material or otherwise actionable under the federal securities laws.

Plaintiffs believe that the proposed settlement is a good recovery and is in the best interests of the class. Because of the inherent risks associated with continuing to litigate and proceeding to trial, there was a danger that Plaintiffs would not have prevailed on any of their claims, in which case the class would receive nothing. For example, Plaintiffs faced the possibility that all or many of the claims in this case could have been dismissed. It was expected that defendants would have filed a motion to dismiss the federal court case. The recently enacted Private Securities Litigation Reform Act ("PSLRA") has arguably established a higher standard for plaintiffs on a motion to dismiss, and therefore, it is unknown which, if any, claims would have remained had the motion been denied.

In addition, the amount of damages recoverable by class members would have been subject to rigorous attack by Defendants. Recoverable damages are limited to losses caused by conduct actionable under applicable securities laws and, had the Litigation gone to trial, Defendants would have attempted to prove that all or most of the losses of class members were caused by non-actionable market, industry or other general economic factors. The proposed settlement eliminates these risks and provides an immediate and sizable recovery for class members.

Plaintiffs' counsel have not received any payment for their services in prosecuting this Litigation on behalf of Plaintiffs and the members of the class, nor have they been reimbursed for their out-of-pocket expenditures. If the settlement is approved by the Court, counsel for the Plaintiffs will apply to the Court for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses to be paid from the settlement proceeds. If the amount requested by counsel is approved by the Court, the average cost per share would be \$0.28.

This Notice is not an expression of any opinion by the Court about the merits of any of the claims or defenses asserted by any party in this Litigation or the fairness or adequacy of the proposed settlement.

For further information regarding this settlement you may contact: Rick Nelson, Milberg Weiss Bershad Hynes & Lerach LLP, 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800, San Diego, California 92101, Telephone: 619/231-1058.

I. NOTICE OF HEARING ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT

A settlement hearing will be held on February 19, 1999, at 10:00 a.m., before the Honorable Fern M. Smith, United States District Judge, at the United States Courthouse, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California (the "Settlement Hearing"). The purpose of the Settlement Hearing will be to determine: (1) whether the settlement consisting of \$4.5 million in cash plus accrued interest should be approved as fair, just, reasonable and adequate; (2) whether the proposed plan to distribute the settlement proceeds (the "Plan of Allocation") is fair, just, reasonable, and adequate; (3) whether the application by Plaintiffs' counsel for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses should be approved; and (4) whether the Litigation should be dismissed with prejudice. The Court may adjourn or continue the Settlement Hearing without further notice to the Settlement Class.

II. DEFINITIONS USED IN THIS NOTICE

1. "Defendants" means Raster Graphics, Rakesh Kumar, Dennis R. Mahoney, Michael Willingham, and Charles Edwards.

2. "Individual Defendants" means each of the Defendants excluding Raster Graphics.

3. "Related Parties" means each of any Defendants' directors, officers, employees, partnerships and partners, principals, agents, controlling shareholders, any entity in which any Defendant and/or any member(s) of that Defendant's immediate family has or have a controlling interest, attorneys, accountants, auditors, banks, investment banks or investment bankers, personal or legal representatives, insurers, co-insurers and reinsurers, predecessors, successors, parents, subsidiaries, divisions, joint ventures and joint venturers, assigns, spouses, heirs, executors, administrators, related or affiliated entities, any members of an Individual Defendant's immediate family, or any trust of which any Defendant is the settlor or which is for the benefit of any Individual Defendant and/or member(s) of his family.

4. "Released Claims" shall mean and include any and all claims, causes of action or liabilities, including, but not limited to, claims for negligence, gross negligence, professional negligence, breach of duty of care and/or breach of duty of loyalty, fraud, breach of fiduciary duty, mismanagement, corporate waste, malpractice, breach of contract, negligent misrepresentation, violations of any state or federal statutes, rules or regulations, and any Unknown Claim as defined below ("claims"), that have been or that could have been asserted in this or any other forum by or on behalf of the Representative Plaintiffs, the Settlement Class, or any Member of the Settlement Class based on or related to their purchase of Raster Graphics common stock during the Settlement Class Period and any loss by reason thereof against any of the Released Persons arising out of or relating to:

(a) any of the facts, circumstances, allegations, claims, causes of action, representations, statements, reports, disclosures, transactions, events, occurrences, acts, omissions or failures to act, of whatever kind or character whatsoever, irrespective of the state of mind of the actor performing or omitting to perform the same that have been or could have been alleged in any pleading, amended pleading, argument, complaint, amended complaint, brief, motion, report or filing in the Litigation;

(b) any matter, cause or thing whatsoever, including, but not limited to, any action, omission or failure to act of whatever kind or character, irrespective of the state of mind of the actor performing or omitting to perform the same, arising out of or relating to the adequacy, accuracy or completeness of any disclosure or statement made in any filings, reports, press releases, statements, representations or announcements concerning Raster Graphics' operations, subsidiaries, products, sales, financial condition or prospects (collectively referred to as "public statements") or in any filing with the SEC or any other federal or state governmental agency or regulatory body, or the preparation or dissemination of, or failure to disseminate, any such public statements, at any time during the Settlement Class Period pertaining in any respect to any of the matters that have been or could have been alleged in any pleading, amended pleading, complaint, amended complaint, motion, or filing in the Litigation; or

(c) any of the facts, circumstances, claims, causes of action, representations, statements, reports, disclosures, transactions, events, occurrences, acts or omissions of whatever kind or character whatsoever, regardless of the state of mind of the actor performing or omitting to perform the same, encompassed by subparagraphs (a) and (b), above, that have been or that could have been alleged or made the subject of

any claim or action in state court or otherwise under the law of any state, common law or in equity in any pleading, amended pleading, demand, complaint, amended complaint, motion or filing.

5. "Unknown Claims" means any Released Claims which any Representative Plaintiff or any other Settlement Class Member does not know or suspect to exist in his, her or its favor at the time of the release of the Released Persons which, if known by him, her or it, might have affected his, her or its settlement with and release of the Released Persons, or might have affected his, her or its decision not to object to this settlement. With respect to any and all Released Claims against the Released Persons, the Settling Parties stipulate and agree that, upon the Effective Date, the Representative Plaintiffs shall expressly, and the other Settlement Class Members shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, waived and relinquished, to the fullest extent permitted by law, the provisions, rights, and benefits of §1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

With respect to any and all Released Claims against the Released Persons, each of the Representative Plaintiffs shall expressly, and the other Settlement Class Members shall be deemed to have, and upon the Effective Date and by operation of the Judgment shall have, waived any and all provisions, rights and benefits conferred by any law of any state or territory of the United States, or principle of common law, or of international or foreign law, which is similar, comparable or equivalent to §1542 of the California Civil Code. Each of the Representative Plaintiffs and the other Settlement Class Members may hereafter discover facts in addition to or different from those which he, she or it now knows or believes to be true with respect to the Released Claims, but hereby stipulate and agree that each Representative Plaintiff does and each other Settlement Class Member shall be deemed to have, and upon the Effective Date and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever settled and released any and all Released Claims against the Released Persons, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or non-contingent, whether or not concealed or hidden, which now exist, or heretofore have existed, upon any theory of law or equity now existing or coming into existence in the future, including, but not limited to, conduct which is negligent, intentional, with or without malice, or a breach of any duty, law or rule, without regard to the subsequent discovery or existence of such different or additional facts. Releasers acknowledge that the foregoing waiver was bargained for and a key element of the settlement of which this release is a part.

6. "Settlement Class" means all persons (except Defendants, officers and directors of Raster Graphics, members of the immediate families of any Individual Defendant, any entity in which any Defendant has a controlling interest, and the legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns of any such excluded party) who purchased Raster Graphics stock during the Settlement Class Period, excluding those persons who timely and validly request exclusion from the Settlement Class pursuant to this "Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Class Actions."

7. "Settlement Class Period" or "Class Period" means the period from April 24, 1997 through April 24, 1998, inclusive.

III. THE LITIGATION

A. Action In State Court

On March 4, 1998, Ginter, et al. v. Raster Graphics, Inc., et al., Case No. CV772401, was filed in the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara. This action alleged that Defendants violated California Corporations Code §§25400 and 25500, California Civil Code §§1709-1710, California Business & Professions Code §17200, et seq., and §17500, et seq., and California Corporations Code §1507. This action was brought on behalf of all persons who purchased the common stock of Raster Graphics from April 24, 1997 through February 3, 1998, excluding the Defendants, members of their families and any entity in which a Defendant has an interest. On April 14, 1998, Beshear v. Raster Graphics, Inc., Case No. CV773294, was filed in the same court asserting violations of California Corporations Code §§25400 and 25500 on behalf of purchasers of Raster Graphics stock from October 20, 1997 through April 3, 1998. These two actions are referred to collectively herein as the "State Action."

The State Action alleged that Raster Graphics, which develops, manufactures and markets high-performance, large-format digital color printing systems, and also sells consumables and software related to those printers, misrepresented the prospects for the Company's products and disseminated false and misleading statements regarding its revenues and earnings during the State Action class period and these misrepresentations caused artificial inflation of Raster Graphics common stock.

B. Action In Federal Court

On or about March 2, 1998, March 10, 1998 and April 13, 1998, Grimm v. Raster Graphics, Inc., et al., No. C-98-0807-FMS, Dowgos v. Raster Graphics, Inc., et al., No. C-98-0938-MJJ, and Moore v. Raster Graphics, Inc., No. C-98-1489-SBA, respectively, were filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (collectively the "Federal Action"). The Federal Action alleged violations of §§10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, on behalf of all

persons who purchased or otherwise acquired Raster Graphics common stock during the defined period of time.

The Federal Action alleged that Raster Graphics misrepresented the success of and demand for the Company's products during the Federal Action class period, its projected and actual revenues, and that as a result of improper revenue recognition in violation of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP"), its revenues and net income for the third quarter 1997 and fourth quarter 1997 had to be restated, and that these misrepresentations caused artificial inflation of the price of Raster Graphics stock.

The State Action and the Federal Action are referred to collectively herein as the "Litigation."

IV. TERMS OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT

The Defendants have paid into an escrow account, pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement dated as of October 12, 1998 (the "Stipulation"), cash in the amount of \$4,500,000 (the "Settlement Fund"). A portion of the settlement proceeds will be used for certain administrative expenses, including costs of printing and mailing this Notice, cost of publishing a newspaper notice, payment of any taxes assessed against the Settlement Fund and costs associated with the processing of claims submitted. In addition, as explained below, a portion of the Settlement Fund may be awarded by the Court to counsel for Representative Plaintiffs as attorneys' fees and for reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses. The balance of the Settlement Fund (the "Net Settlement Fund") will be distributed according to the Plan of Allocation described below to Settlement Class Members who submit valid and timely Proof of Claim forms.

V. PLAN OF ALLOCATION

The Net Settlement Fund will be distributed to Settlement Class Members who submit valid, timely Proof of Claim forms ("Authorized Claimants") under this Plan of Allocation. The Plan of Allocation provides that you will be eligible to participate in the distribution of the Settlement Fund only if you have a net loss on all transactions in Raster Graphics common stock during the Settlement Class Period.

Plaintiffs' counsel, in consultation with their damage consultant, have determined the amount an Authorized Claimant may recover under the Plan of Allocation. The Plan of Allocation reflects the maximum damages that could have been recovered if Plaintiffs were successful in establishing liability on all claims.

To the extent there are sufficient funds in the Net Settlement Fund, each Authorized Claimant will receive an amount equal to the Authorized Claimant's claim, as defined below. If, however, the amount in the Net Settlement Fund is not sufficient to permit payment of the total claim of each Authorized Claimant, then each Authorized Claimant shall be paid the percentage that each Authorized Claimant's claim bears to the total of the claims of all Authorized Claimants. Payment in this manner shall be deemed conclusive against all Authorized Claimants.

A claim will be calculated as follows:

- A. For shares of Raster Graphics, Inc. common stock that were purchased on 4/24/97 through 4/24/98, and
 1. sold on 4/24/97 through 4/24/98, the claim per share is the price paid less the sales price;
 2. held at the close of trading on 4/24/98, the claim per share is the price paid less \$1.50 per share.

B. The date of purchase or sale is the "contract" or "trade" date as distinguished from the "settlement" date.

C. For Settlement Class Members who made multiple purchases or multiple sales during the Settlement Class Period, the earliest subsequent sale shall be matched with the earliest purchase and chronologically thereafter for purposes of the claim calculations.

D. All profits shall be subtracted from the total of all losses to determine if a Settlement Class Member has a claim. Only if a Settlement Class Member had a net loss, after profits from all transactions in Raster Graphics common stock during the Settlement Class Period are subtracted from the total of losses, will such class member be eligible to receive a distribution from the Net Settlement Fund.

E. The Court has reserved jurisdiction to allow, disallow or adjust the claim of any Settlement Class Member on equitable grounds.

VI. REASONS FOR SETTLEMENT

Counsel for the Plaintiffs have conducted a thorough investigation into the facts and circumstances relevant to the allegations in the Litigation, and have researched the law applicable to the claims asserted against the Defendants and the potential defenses thereto. In evaluating the reasonableness of the settlement, Plaintiffs' counsel analyzed the likelihood of success on the claims asserted. Counsel for the Plaintiffs believe that the evidence developed to date would support their claims; however, the claims had not yet been challenged by a motion to dismiss. Even if Plaintiffs' claims passed muster and survived the anticipated motion to dismiss, Defendants likely would have attempted to defeat the claims in a motion for summary judgment and if necessary at trial. The uncertain state of the law under the recently

enacted PSLRA increases the risk of surviving the pleading stage and getting to trial. Consideration was also given to the current precarious financial position of Raster Graphics. Counsel for the Plaintiffs also recognize the significant risks necessary to prosecute the Litigation against the Defendants through trial and subsequent appeals, as well as the inherent difficulties and delays complex litigation like this entails. Moreover, counsel for the Plaintiffs are mindful of the many problems of proof under, and possible defenses to, the securities law violations asserted and, therefore, believe that it is in the best interest of the class to resolve the Litigation for the amount obtained. Even if Plaintiffs were successful after years of litigation, any recovery would be offset by the significant expenses incurred in continuing to litigate the case. Consideration of all these factors support the settlement.

VII. DEFENDANTS' STATEMENT AND DENIALS OF WRONGDOING AND LIABILITY

Defendants have denied and continue to deny each and all of the claims and contentions alleged by the Representative Plaintiffs in the Litigation. Defendants also have denied and continue to deny, inter alia, the allegations that the Representative Plaintiffs or other Members of the Settlement Class have suffered any damages. Nonetheless, Defendants have concluded that further conduct of the Litigation would be protracted and expensive, and that it is desirable that the Litigation be fully and finally settled in the manner and upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Stipulation. Defendants also have taken into account the uncertainty and risks inherent in any litigation, especially in complex cases like this Litigation. Defendants have, therefore, determined that it is desirable and beneficial to them that the Litigation be settled in the manner and upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Stipulation.

VIII. ORDER CERTIFYING A CLASS FOR PURPOSES OF SETTLEMENT

On December 11, 1998, the Court certified a class for settlement purposes only. The Settlement Class is defined above.

IX. PARTICIPATION IN THE SETTLEMENT CLASS

If you fall within the definition of the Settlement Class, you will remain a Settlement Class Member unless you elect to be excluded from the Settlement Class. If you do not request to be excluded from the Settlement Class, you will be bound by any judgment entered in the Litigation whether or not you file a Proof of Claim.

If you wish to remain a Settlement Class Member, you need do nothing (other than timely file a Proof of Claim and Release if you wish to participate in the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund) and your interests will be represented by Plaintiffs' counsel. If you choose, you may enter a legal appearance individually or through your own counsel at your own expense.

TO PARTICIPATE IN THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE NET SETTLEMENT FUND, YOU MUST TIMELY COMPLETE AND RETURN THE PROOF OF CLAIM AND RELEASE FORM THAT ACCOMPANIES THIS NOTICE. The Proof of Claim and Release must be postmarked on or before March 18, 1999, and delivered to the Claims Administrator at the address below. Unless the Court orders otherwise, if you do not timely submit a valid Proof of Claim, you will be barred from receiving any payments from the Net Settlement Fund, but will in all other respects be bound by the provisions of the Stipulation and the Final Judgment.

X. EXCLUSION FROM THE SETTLEMENT CLASS

You may request to be excluded from the Settlement Class. To do so, you must mail a written request to:

Raster Graphics Securities Litigation
c/o Claims Administrator
Gilardi & Co. LLC
P.O. Box 5100
Larkspur, CA 94977-5100

The request for exclusion must state: (1) your name, address, and telephone number; (2) all purchases and sales of Raster Graphics common stock made during the Settlement Class Period, including the dates, the number of shares, and price paid or received per share for each such purchase or sale; and (3) that you wish to be excluded from the Settlement Class. **TO BE VALID, A REQUEST FOR EXCLUSION MUST STATE ALL OF THE FOREGOING INFORMATION. YOUR EXCLUSION REQUEST MUST BE POSTMARKED ON OR BEFORE FEBRUARY 1, 1999.** If you submit a valid and timely request for exclusion, you shall have no rights under the settlement, shall not share in the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund, and shall not be bound by the Stipulation or the Final Judgment.

XI. DISMISSAL AND RELEASES

If the proposed settlement is approved, the Court will enter a Final Judgment and Order of Dismissal ("Judgment"). The Judgment will

dismiss the Released Claims with prejudice as to all Defendants.

The Judgment will provide that all Settlement Class Members who do not validly and timely request to be excluded from the Settlement Class shall be deemed to have released and forever discharged all Released Claims (to the extent Members of the Settlement Class have such claims) against all Released Persons. This release will include a release of all claims asserted in the pending lawsuits in both the U.S. District Court and the California Superior Court.

XII. APPLICATION FOR FEES, EXPENSES AND AWARDS

At the Settlement Hearing, counsel for Plaintiffs will request the Court to award attorneys' fees not to exceed 25% of the Settlement Fund, or an average of \$0.25 per share. In addition, Plaintiffs' counsel will seek reimbursement of the expenses, not to exceed \$135,000 or \$0.03 per share, which were advanced in connection with the Litigation, including expenses incurred for experts. Settlement Class Members are not personally liable for any fees and expenses.

To date, Plaintiffs' counsel have not received any payment for their services in conducting this Litigation on behalf of Plaintiffs and the members of the class, nor have counsel been reimbursed for their out-of-pocket expenses. The fee requested by Plaintiffs' counsel would compensate counsel for their efforts in achieving the Settlement Fund for the benefit of the class, and for their risk in undertaking this representation on a contingency basis. If approved by the Court, the fee requested would be within the range of fees awarded to plaintiffs' counsel under similar circumstances in litigation of this type.

XIII. CONDITIONS FOR SETTLEMENT

The settlement is conditioned upon the occurrence of certain events. Those events include, among other things: (1) entry of the Judgment by the Court, as provided for in the Stipulation; and (2) expiration of the time to appeal from or alter or amend the Judgment. If, for any reason, any one of the conditions described in the Stipulation is not met, the Stipulation might be terminated and, if terminated, will become null and void, and the parties to the Stipulation will be restored to their respective positions as of October 11, 1998, the date before an agreement in principle to settle the Litigation was reached.

XIV. THE RIGHT TO BE HEARD AT THE HEARING

Any Settlement Class Member who has not validly and timely requested to be excluded from the Settlement Class, and who objects to any aspect of the settlement, the Plan of Allocation, or the application for attorneys' fees, costs and expenses, may appear and be heard at the Settlement Hearing. Any such person must submit a written notice of objection, filed with the Clerk of the Court, United States District Court, Northern District of California, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA on or before February 1, 1999 and received by each of the following on or before February 1, 1999:

MILBERG WEISS BERSHAD
HYNES & LERACH LLP
JOY ANN BULL
600 West Broadway, Suite 1800
San Diego, CA 92101

BROBECK, PHLEGER & HARRISON LLP
DAVID FURBUSH
Two Embarcadero Place
2200 Geng Road
Palo Alto, CA 94303-0913

The notice of objection must demonstrate the objecting person's membership in the Settlement Class, and contain a statement of the reasons for objection. Only members of the Settlement Class who have submitted written notices of objection in the manner set forth above will be entitled to be heard at the Settlement Hearing, unless the Court orders otherwise.

XV. SPECIAL NOTICE TO NOMINEES

If you hold or purchased any Raster Graphics common stock during the Settlement Class Period as nominee for a beneficial owner, then, within ten (10) days after you receive this Notice, you must either: (1) send a copy of this Notice and the Proof of Claim by first class mail to all such persons; or (2) provide a list of the names and addresses of such persons to the Claims Administrator:

Raster Graphics Securities Litigation
c/o Claims Administrator
Gilardi & Co. LLC
P.O. Box 5100
Larkspur, CA 94977-5100

If you choose to mail the Notice and Proof of Claim yourself, you may obtain from the Claims Administrator (without cost to you) as

many additional copies of these documents as you will need to complete the mailing.

Regardless of whether you choose to complete the mailing yourself or elect to have the mailing performed for you, you may obtain reimbursement for or advancement of reasonable administrative costs actually incurred in connection with forwarding the Notice and Proof of Claim and which would not have been incurred but for the obligation to forward the Notice and Proof of Claim, upon submission of appropriate documentation.

XVI. EXAMINATION OF PAPERS

This Notice is a summary and does not describe all of the details of the Stipulation. For full details of the matters discussed in this Notice, you may desire to review the Stipulation filed with the Court, which may be inspected during business hours, at the office of the Clerk of the Court, United States Courthouse, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA.

If you have any questions about the settlement of the Litigation, you may contact Plaintiffs' Settlement Counsel by writing:

MILBERG WEISS BERSHAD
HYNES & LERACH LLP
PATRICK J. COUGHLIN
JOY ANN BULL
600 West Broadway, Suite 1800
San Diego, CA 92101

WOLF POPPER LLP
LESTER L. LEVOY
LAWRENCE D. LEVIT
845 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022

KAPLAN, KILSHEIMER & FOX LLP
ROBERT N. KAPLAN
JOEL B. STRAUSS
685 Third Avenue, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10017

DO NOT TELEPHONE THE COURT REGARDING THIS NOTICE.

DATED: December 11, 1998

BY ORDER OF THE UNITED STATES
DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA